

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,789	04/03/2006	Steffen Pfeiffer	4385-051182	5011
28289 7590 01/05/2010 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C. 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVIENUE			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
PITTSBURGI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/539,789	PFEIFFER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	

ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Q The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendme application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply muperiods:	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. 	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the dono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the control of	m the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspond under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period is etf or thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ling amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee or reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	7 must be filed within two months of the date of
filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4.4.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of file	ing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or searce	h (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by m appeal; and/or	aterially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number o NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	f finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	, , ,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a non-allowable claim(s).	separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
 So purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-34 and 37-48</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of	of filing a Nation of Annual will not be entared
 In a smooth to other evidence field after a final action, but before or on the date of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but	prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections u showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pre	sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the cla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the ap 1. Applicnt submits Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 signed by Markus Machher	oplication in condition for allowance because:
1. Borner does not teach an addition of C4-C18 alcohols after the second pre-concentra range of 95-99% (the drying step of Borner occurs after this addition)	
2 nH range of Roman process relates to stabilization process, not to estarification one:	

- 3. Molecular weight of 500 is not esessery condition for palletizing resin;
- 4. Adams and Michel do not teach that an alcohol is added after two concentration steps, where solids content is within the range of 95-99%

Regarding (1), Examiner agrees that Borner dries his material only after adding a second alcohol. However, Adams (secondary reference) discloses the step above. In particular, Adams discloses that he can distill excess of methanol and water (see column 3, line 65) to obtain a product completely free of water (see Column 4, line 5), obtaining a metylated product. Only after this step the second alcohol is added.

Regarding (2), Adams openly discloses etherification process at pH 5.5-6.5.

In reference to (3), the Declaration does not present any evidence, which supports the statement. It is clear that compounds with molecular weight of less than 500 can exist in the solid form. However, in order to be palletized in the extruder, the compound should possess an ability to plastic flow. Low molecular weight compounds do not have this property.

Regarding (4), again, Adams discloses methanol and water removal before adding a second alcohol. .

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. /James J. Seidleck/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091231